PLAN AND ZO	NING						
	RI	EPORT O	F THE	PROCEED	INGS C	FAI	MEETING
		BEFOR	E THE	VILLAGE	OF NC	RTHF	IELD
		PL	AN ANI	O ZONING	COMMI	SSIO	.1
COMMISSION							

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had before the Village of Northfield Plan and Zoning Commission taken at the Northfield Village Hall, Board Room, Northfield, Illinois on the 1st day of April, 2019, at the hour of 7:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

MEMBERS ABSENT:

BILL VASELOPULOS, Chairman THOMAS BOLLING TRACEY MENDREK TODD BERLINGHOF KATHY ESTABROOKE WILLIAM KELLY CONNIE BERMAN STEVEN HIRSCH DAN DELOYS

ALSO PRESENT:

STEVE GUTIERREZ, Community Development Director

2.3

 CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Good evening, everyone. I'd like to call to order the meeting of Plan and Zoning Commission. My name is Bill Vaselopulos, I'm the Chairman of the Commission. At this time, I would like the Commissioners to introduce themselves, starting with Bill.

COMMISSIONER KELLY: Bill Kelly.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Kathy Estabrooke.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Todd Berlinghof.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Tracey Mendrek.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Tom Bolling.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Thank you very much. The purpose of tonight's meeting is to conduct a hearing and consider and discuss these requests for approval of special use permits located at 570 Valley Way, Petitioner's name is Aldo and Jessica Bottalla and 1845 Oak Street, Petitioner's name is Kick Start Therapeutic, excuse me, Kick Start Pediatric Therapy Network and Imperial Realty Company, who is the landlord.

The public hearing format will provide an overview of these proposals in a form for public comment and input. This Commission is a recommending body only, and we will forward our recommendations to the President, the Village President and the Board of Trustees for final determination on whether or not to grant these items before us today. The Board will then consider these items being discussed this evening at the next Board meeting, which is scheduled for Tuesday, April 16th, 2019, at 7:00 p.m. right here in this Board room.

Commission meetings require that all persons wishing to be heard and to enter testimony must be sworn in. This includes all Petitioners, individuals with the Petitions, and any other interested parties or other property owners. Following the Petitioner's presentation and after the Commission has had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss amongst ourselves, then all other interested parties will be given an opportunity to speak.

Prior to speaking, we request that all parties step forward to the microphone, be sworn in, provide their name, their address, provide their name, address, and interest in this matter for the record. These proceedings are being recorded and that is why we request you to speak only at the podium where the microphone is located.

The first order of business is to pass the minutes from our last meeting of March $4^{\rm th}$, 2019. Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: So moved.
COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Second.
CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All those in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All opposed? (No response.)

2.3

2.8

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Motion carries. Before the Petitioner steps up to the microphone, Steve, do you have any introductory comments?

MR. GUTIERREZ: I do, Mr. Chairman. Again, as you noted, the Petitioners, the Battalas, are the owners of the home at 570 Valley Way. Their property is in the R-1 countryside residential district, which requires that any garage space totally more than 1,200 square feet be approved as a special use.

The Petitioners wish to build a two-story attached garage. The first level of that proposed garage will be 950 square feet. They have an existing garage at 637 square feet, so the total of the garage space that they're proposing is 1587 square, which puts them over that 1200 square foot threshold. As I indicate in the memo that you received, Staff is supportive of the request of special use, but it has a few suggestions for the Commission to consider.

We would suggest prohibiting any commercial operation explicitly in the ordinance, as well as any auto work that would create a nuisance. So, this would not prevent typical homeowner car maintenance but something on the order of pneumatic hammers and drills and things like that where it might become a nuisance to a neighbor.

We're also suggesting that the Petitioners use black shutters on each side of the proposed garage to remain consistent with the existing home. The plans that you received last week did not have the shutters except for on the front of the proposed garage.

We also suggested that the window on the west elevation, or the rear of the proposed garage, be adjusted to provide some more visual relief from the large expanse of brick on the back side of the proposed garage. In addition, we are suggesting additional landscaping be used to better screen the garage from the neighbor to the north. There's a break in the trees from the landscaping there, where that neighbor will have a direct view to the proposed garage.

And then, finally, we are suggesting that the garage doors of the new structure are consistent with the garage door of the existing home. The materials aren't at issue and we're just hoping to make sure that there's a consistency between the two structures. And I believe the Petitioners' architect will be addressing these recommendations in their presentation and unless you have any questions for me, I'll hand it over to them.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Is this going before Architectural --

MR. GUTIERREZ: No, this is a unique animal, these oversized garages. It's a special use application but these are only heard by the Plan and Zoning Commission, so you'll be the sole recommending body to the Village Board on this.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: So, we're not going to see a landscape plan, then?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Well, the approach we took here was to suggest that staff be allowed to review additional landscaping if so desired by the Commission.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: So, do I understand that the stormwater calculation has not yet been made?

MR. GUTIERREZ: The stormwater is fairly straightforward, it's not as complex as a subdivision or commercial development, so the stormwater will be dealt with at permit review.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: So, the process is there has not been a stormwater calculation yet, but there will be?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Correct. From a practical standpoint, for homeowners, we have them go through zoning approval before we ask them to go through the expense of having the engineering done.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Okay.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: You said 900 square feet, isn't it 1,000 square feet, you have to create retention, less than 1,000 you okay?

MR. GUTIERREZ: You're right. It's 1,000 square feet.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: And my rough estimate of a square is 950 square feet.

MR. GUTIERREZ: There will also be an addition to the home which will bring the total over 1,000 square feet of new impervious area. The addition is not subject to a special use nor architectural review.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Oh, then that's what takes it over 1,000?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Yes, that wasn't clear. You have to look at the drawings in order to determine that.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, but the addition is not subject to this review, so we don't highlight it.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Yes, right.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: It would seem that, just in the future, that if we're going to approve a special use that relates to landscaping, that we probably should have a landscaping plan. I don't remember --

MR. GUTIERREZ: There is landscaping in the application but it was Staff's suggestion that

essentially we beef that up to provide more screening to that.

```
COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Right, no, I don't
    disagree, I just, even for the homeowners, you know,
 3
    edification, now they're relying totally on Staff and if
    Staff is maybe less than reasonable in the homeowner's
 5
    eye, at least they had an opportunity to present it
    front of everybody else. I think we all thought that
    maybe the Architectural Commission was looking at it as
    well, but I'm not going to worry about it for this one,
 9
    but I think in the future we ought to think about that.
         I know that, you know, when we've approved a couple
10
    of them, a lot of times it came as part of a larger home
11
12
    where the whole thing got presented to us. We saw
13
    landscaping plans and we saw architectural plans that
14
    were much more defined than this one was, which is
15
    limited.
16
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         Some plans are further along in
17
    development. But we don't require that per se, so it
    was just the way that we received it.
18
19
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Okay, I'd have some
20
    questions about the second floor, but I quess the
21
    Petitioner, we can ask the Petitioner that.
22
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                Right.
                         Would the Petitioner like to step
2.3
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
24
    forward and be sworn in first?
25
               (Witnesses sworn.)
26
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         Thank you.
27
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: If you could both state your
28
    name please?
29
         MR. BOTTALLA: My name is Aldo Bottalla, the
30
    homeowner.
31
         MS. SCHAROFF: My name is Anne Scharoff, I'm with
32
    The Workroom Chicago. I'm the project architect.
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Okay, so do you have any
33
34
    comments or presentation you want to make.
35
         MR. BOTTALLA: Well, first of all, I want to say
36
    thank you for having me here tonight. I know it's, it
37
    takes up everyone's time a little bit. The reason I'm
38
    really asking for this, more space, is my mom recently
39
    moved in with us and she's going to be getting a
    handicap vehicle so she'll be taking up our current
40
41
    garage, so we're really out of space. I've got a nanny
42
    that comes in each day and my mom also has a caregiver
43
    that's in and out, so we've got so many cars coming in
44
    and out. We just want enough room to accommodate my
45
    family. My wife and I both work and we have two young
46
    kids as well, so --
47
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Well, so I noticed as
48
    part of the report it talks about you not knowing
49
    necessarily what you're going to use second floor for.
50
    But you're showing a bathroom.
51
         MR. BOTTALLA:
                        Right.
```

LeGRAND REPORTING & VIDEO SERVICES
Chicago & Roselle, Illinois - Miami & Orlando, Florida
(630) 894-9389 - (800) 219-1212

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: So, obviously that means it

could be inhabited by, for residential or for office purposes. They make the point of saying, although I'm looking at this plan, I can't quite see it, it looks like you might have made some changes about adding a doorway that doesn't go through the garage. If you're going to use this for residential purposes, the Fire Department talked about having a one-hour fire differential between the floors as well as the staircase being fire rated as well and then having a door to the exterior. Is that the plan?

MR. BOTTALLA: That is the plan. My, my overall plan is because we're cramped for space in the house, that I would make it an office for me and my wife when we work from home. So, there will be a bathroom in there and we have no problem making it fire rated and being up to code.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Is that something we have to talk about here or not? I guess, we're seeing the door; is that why the door is on the side there?

MR. BOTTALLA: The door is just to enter so you don't have to, yeah, you don't have to open the garage door.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Well, no, I know as a requirement also of the Fire Department, so I just, it wasn't on the original plan, so it's on this one. I presume because the plan I have doesn't show a door --

MS. SCHAROFF: Oh, really?

2.3

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: -- on the side.

MS. SCHAROFF: It always had --

MR. BOTTALLA: Yeah, we always intended a door.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: But there's one door, I guess it shows the door, but it doesn't show it in the, on the garage plans but shows it on the exterior plan. Am I missing something?

MS. SCHAROFF: I thought that the exterior -COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Oh, this one here. I
apologize, you're right, it's right here. I was looking
for it right at the bottom of the stairs and it's not.
So, you talked about not having to go through the
garage. Is that door close enough there as an exit from
a staircase perspective as opposed to being right at the
end of the stairs?

MR. GUTIERREZ: The comments that you're referring to that were made by the Fire Prevention Bureau are life safety code issues. They really don't relate to the zoning approval.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Okay.

MR. GUTIERREZ: The Fire Department likes to include those comments because they like to get them out early to the Applicant, to the project architect but they aren't really pertinent to the zoning approval. The interior stairwell, the ratings, the final

construction plans may be massaged as we go through the permitting process.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Okay, we'll, you have to ask the question, because the reason I didn't notice it is because I'm think at the bottom of the stairs you need an exit. Well, in reality, you've got to go all the way around through the cars or around the cars to get to your door, if that's the case.

MS. SCHAROFF: Yeah, I'm not sure if that's the case for residential special use or like he said. I don't know --

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Well, commercial, you see, you need two forms of egress, so that's even worse. Because now all of a sudden, now you need two stairs potentially, for other reasons. So, you need --

MR. BOTTALLA: What's typical for one that would be under the square footage, you know, if we weren't asking for the special use, if the guys have maybe an office above.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Those comments aren't going to be affected by whether or not there's a special use.

MR. BOTTALLA: Okay, okay.

2.3

MR. GUTIERREZ: Those are all building code and life safety code issues.

MR. BOTTALLA: What would happen if you follow all the codes --

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Yes, I just want to make sure you're aware of that --

MR. BOTTALLA: Okay, thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: -- as we're sitting here looking at all these things, because it's possible you may have to put a door out the back.

MR. BOTTALLA: Whatever's needed that we have to do, we'll do.

MS. SCHAROFF: Absolutely, and I'd just like to add that we did look at and take your comments into consideration and we agree that shutters should be throughout. It breaks up the expansive brick and as well as made the windows larger on the west end. I believe that's the north elevation. And that's reflected in these drawings as well and other than that, it's, that the intention is that this structure matches the existing house so that it looks like it was always intended to be there.

It will be brick, and he's going to replace the garage door that he has now with the new one so that they'll all match together, with a high-end wood or steel structure, you know, insulated door. High-end lanterns will be installed throughout by the doors so that there's adequate lighting for the cars and the people. And we're in the process of having a professional landscaper draw up designs and there will

```
be, obviously, retention as well included in that.
    We'll have detention.
 3
         MS. SCHAROFF:
                       In my opinion, when I went to the
    property, the garage looks like it belongs there anyway.
 5
         MR. BOTTALLA: We thought it would be a good spot
    for it. We still have a well that's on the other,
 7
    that's next to the existing garage, so it made it
    difficult to add on to the existing garage.
 9
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Sorry, did you say you had a
10
    well?
         MR. BOTTALLA: We do have a well. They just use it
11
12
    for the sprinkler system, but it's on the backside of
13
    the current garage, so it was difficult to add on to the
14
    current garage.
15
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING: You mentioned bigger
    windows. You didn't mean the north side, you meant the
16
17
    west side, right?
         MS. SCHAROFF:
18
                       Yes, the west side.
                                             I'm sorry, yes.
19
         COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Has anyone stated any
20
    opposition, Steve? Has anyone, after the notice?
21
         MR. GUTIERREZ: No, we have not heard from anybody.
         MR. BOTTALLA: I've let my neighbors know, you
22
2.3
    know, what our intentions were. They didn't seem to
24
    have a problem with it.
25
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING:
                                This went through the same
26
    process, right, where there was a --
27
         MR. GUTIERREZ: Correct. Every property within 250
28
    feet of the property was sent a notice via certified
29
    mail.
30
         MR. BOTTALLA:
                         I notified 12 property owners and I
31
    didn't get anybody asking me. I offered to come and
    talk to them and show them the plans as well.
32
33
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                Anybody have any more
34
    questions from the Commission?
35
          (No response.)
36
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Members of the audience,
37
    does anyone have any questions or comments?
38
          (No response.)
39
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: If not, does someone want to
40
    make a motion?
41
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF:
                                    I'll make a motion.
42
         Motion to recommend to the Village Board approval
    of a Special Use for the construction of a two-story
43
44
    detached garage and use of 1,580 square feet of garage
    space at 570 Valley Way in accordance with the
45
46
    Petitioner's application and supporting materials, date
47
    stamped February 25th, 2019, subject to conditions 1
48
    through 12.
49
         The garages shall only be used for the storage of
50
    vehicles and property associated with the residential
    use of the subject property. No commercial operations
51
```

or storage of commercial vehicles shall be allowed in

the garages, except as may be permitted by the Village's home occupation ordinance.

- 3 2. The petitioner shall not perform repairs,
 - restoration or maintenance of autos or any other
- 5 machinery to the extent such activity creates a
- nuisance.
- 7 3. Black shutters shall be used for each window on every elevation of the new garage.
- 9 4. A larger window shall be used on the west
- elevation, subject to the review and approval of Village Staff.
- 12 5. Additional landscaping screening shall be installed
- subject to the review and approval of Village Staff.
- 14 6. The garage door material shall match the existing 15 garage door of the home.
- 16 7. The representations made in the application and
- 17 supporting documents are binding upon the Petitioners.
- 18 There shall be no additional uses permitted beyond those
- 19 specifically enumerated herein or permitted by the
- 20 Village of Northfield's Zoning Ordinance.
- 21 8. The Village of Northfield Health, Fire, and
- 22 Building Officials shall be granted access to the
- 23 subject property at any reasonable time for purposes of
- conducting inspections for compliance with Village Codes
- 25 and Ordinances.
- 26 9. An approval pursuant to any requested review by a
- Village consultant, Village Staff member, Village
- 28 Commission or Village Board Committee shall be an
- 29 approval of only those items specified in any motion,
- 30 resolution, ordinance, or written report. Such approval
- 31 shall not be deemed to be an approval of any matter
- 32 which is within the jurisdiction of any other Village
- 33 consultant, Village Staff member, Village Board
- 34 Committee or Village Commission that has not issued a
- 35 report or given its approval. Neither shall such
- 36 approval be deemed the approval of any County, State or
- 37 Federal Agency. Under no circumstances shall the
- 38 approval be deemed to be an approval of any matter not
- 39 included in this ordinance by virtue of the fact that
- 40 such a matter appeared on a supporting document which is
- 41 not attached as an exhibit to this ordinance or
- 42 incorporated as an exhibit as part of this ordinance.
- 43 10. The petitioner shall comply in all other respects
- 44 with the ordinances of the Village of Northfield and
- 45 nothing in this special use shall be construed as a
- 46 waiver of any of those requirements.
- 47 11. Violation of any condition of this Special Use
- 48 Ordinance shall be cause to revoke said permit by the
- 49 Corporate Authorities upon ten (10) days proper notice
- 50 to the Petitioner. Alternatively, the Village Manager
- 51 shall have the right to assess fines, not to exceed
- 52 \$750.00 per violation, for violation of this Special Use

```
Ordinance.
                Such assessment of fines may be appealed to
    the Corporate Authorities by filing written notice of
 3
    appeal within three (3) days of the assessment.
         Changes in the project may only be made as follows;
 5
              Minor Field Changes. Minor changes in
    locations or sizes shown on exhibits may be approved, in
 7
    writing, by the Director of Community Development.
    Typically, a minor filed change will not involve a
 9
    percentage change greater than 3%. However, not all
    changes of less than 3% shall necessarily be deemed to
10
11
               The determination of the Director of
    be minor.
12
    Community Development as to whether a change is a minor
13
    field change shall be final.
14
         <u>Village Board Approved Changes.</u> The Village Board
    may approve, without referral to the Plan and Zoning
15
16
    Commission, such other changes as it believes are in the
17
    best interest of the Village and which do not involve
    changes in numbers found in the text of the Ordinance
18
19
    and which do not have a substantial, direct impact on
20
    adjacent properties. The determination of the Village
21
    Board as to whether a requested change should be
22
    referred to the Plan and Zoning Commission shall be
2.3
    final.
24
         Changes Requiring a Public Hearing. Any change
2.5
    involving a size, quantity or other numerical value
    found in the text of the Ordinance or any change having
26
27
    substantial, direct impact on adjacent properties shall
28
    not be made except after a public hearing before the
29
    Plan and Zoning Commission. Additionally, the Village
30
    Board or the Director of Community Development may refer
31
    any requested change to the Plan and Zoning Commission
    for public hearing when either believes it would be in
32
33
    the best interest of the Village to do so.
34
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Anyone want to make a
35
    second?
36
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING:
                                 Second.
37
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All those in favor?
38
               (Chorus of ayes.)
39
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All opposed?
40
               (No response.)
41
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Motion carries.
42
    Congratulations. Good luck, Aldo.
43
         MR. BOTTALLA:
                        Thank you very much.
                                               We appreciate
44
    it.
45
         MS. SCHAROFF:
                         Thank you very much.
46
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: The next item before is 1845
47
    Oak Street, Petitioner's name is Kick Start Pediatric
48
    Therapy Network as the tenant and Imperial Realty
49
    Company is the landlord. Steve, do you have any
    introductory comments?
50
```

actual Petitioner name is Kick Start Pediatric Therapy

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

51

52

MR. GUTIERREZ:

Network, PC. The Petitioners have subsequently clarified with me that they would prefer to have this business entity be the technical applicant, okay?

That said, the Petitioners are seeking a special use in order to continue operating a pediatric therapy clinic that provides occupational, physical, developmental, and speech therapy services in the building at 1845 Oak Street. The Petitioner is planning to expand into the adjacent tenant space, therefore space would increase from 3,182 square feet to 5,035, 5,235 square feet. As I noted in the memo, no parking variation is needed for this Applicant.

The building at 1845 is actually under the same ownership as the large office complex at 540-550 Frontage Road that has a large parking lot and after doing all the calculations for all three buildings that are under that ownership, and all of them have shared parking arrangements, they meet the off-street parking requirements. Our observations have been that the parking lot is less than 40 percent used at any given time during the day. So, we're not at all concerned about parking.

The Petitioners are here, ready to provide you a brief presentation. If you have any questions for me, I'll certainly try to answer those for you. Otherwise, I'll hand it over to the Petitioners.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: So, Steven, your point is that if those three buildings were completely leased, we'd still have enough parking spots at 494?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, what we do in our analysis is we take a look at who the current tenants are, what types of tenants there are, and then for vacancies, we assume general office use. And then we include that in the calculation.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: And Steve, this whole issue with the Fire Department and their recommendation for full fire suppression, alarm, whatever, that has nothing to do with this, but the Fire Department did recommend that the whole building be basically updated.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Right, they are life safety code comments, which we will fully address through building permitting. They're building out this extra space and they are subject to permits.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: How does that work?

MR. GUTIERREZ: So what happens in permitting is we will get detailed construction plans and as we get those plans. So in the Fire Department's initial review of this application they noted it looks like a use that will require the installation of an alarm system in the

building.

That is their preliminary take, but as we get into the full construction plans and they get a little bit

2.3

more information about the use, that may or may not change, what those requirements are. But again, what they want to do in these reports is say hey, this is our preliminary read on the plans based on the limited information that we have, which isn't necessarily a final set of construction plans. And so we want to give you, Applicant, a heads up that this might be an issue.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Okay, but it was an issue directed from the Fire Department to the building owner, not to the Applicant?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Correct, yes, so the, typically the building, the building owner is a party to the building permit.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Basically, we don't have any action here against the Applicant. I mean, not action. I meant to say, we don't, we can't ask the Applicant to do anything here.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Right, if the special use is approved, and then they move forward with the building permitting process, that's where that issue will be resolved. If after reviewing the final construction plans, the Fire Department still feel that that's required, the building permit will not be issued, and certainly occupancy wouldn't be issued.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: What we don't know is, it says it's recommended, we don't know if it's required.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Yes, so it's entirely possible that threw on here it's recommended, the additional fire safety, but it's not necessarily required.

MR. GUTIERREZ: I clarify whether they feel that there is something extraordinary that they're seeking, via the zoning entitlement process.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Right.

MR. GUTIERREZ: An example would be the pavement width of the subdivision we recently looked at. The code requires a street to be at least 24 feet wide. The Fire Department wanted more than that. So we included that as a condition of that particular application. In this case the fire alarms can be dealt with in the permitting process as a life safety code issue and so we don't need to include it as a special use condition.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: All right, thanks.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: And how does that work into, this building in my opinion looks in total disrepair. There is windows that are broken, there's wires hanging all over the place. The parking lot is in disrepair. And I thought at one time when we were working with another building owner, that from now on we were going to require the building owners to come when we had special uses, so we could, you know, address those types of issues, because --

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: We did it right across the street where the hot dog place is.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Right, exactly. And this place is really, I wouldn't want my kid going there, let me put it that way. There's, I sent pictures to Steve. There's wires hanging all over the place and, I don't know.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, there's some property maintenance issues that are on our radar screen and are in the midst of addressing, but yes, we didn't tie those into this zoning review. Ms. Estabrooke sent me some photos of some chases that were deteriorating and some wires were hanging out.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Some, lots.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, there's quite a bit of that. They are low voltage wiring, but it is an eyesore. There definitely are some maintenance issues there.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: So, the building owner is not here tonight?

MR. GUTIERREZ: No, I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Okay, and so, and how does that pertain to what we need to do tonight?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Well --

2.3

2.8

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: I think the Board feels strongly about talking to the building owner, from what I'm hearing.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: I do.

MR. GUTIERREZ: There are a couple different ways to go about this. One is to continue the meeting, this particular hearing on this particular application until your next Plan and Zoning Commission hearing in May and in the interim we can get the building owners representative come and address the issues and concerns about the property maintenance.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Property maintenance and fire --

MR. GUTIERREZ: No, the fire alarm really is not an issue that should be dealt with here. So, I wouldn't suggest that you pull that in. I think we can effectively deal with the majority of building maintenance issues. It is an older building, so that's going to be but we can get them to address some of these more blatant issues.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: When the permits are issued can the Village address these concerns at that time?

MR. GUTIERREZ: We can, the property maintenance issues --

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: They're not directly related. I mean, the permits would be for the expansion of the space and not exterior issues, but --

MR. GUTIERREZ: The property maintenance issues can be dealt with independent of both of those, and we're

```
doing that. I will need to consult with the Village
    attorney on just how much leverage we would bring to
    bear in the zoning approval process, with the property
    maintenance issues, usability approval, but again --
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: So, another alternative is
    that we suggest to the Village Board that the Village
    Board does not pass that until some of these issues have
    been dealt with, that we could pass it on here.
 9
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         Right, that's another --
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: And it's contingent upon
10
    these issues being addressed before the Village Board
11
12
                That's another alternative we have, right?
    passes it.
13
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         Yes.
14
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: As opposed to continuing.
15
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         That is another alternative.
16
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: I think that's a good
17
    alternative. I don't think it's fair to the Petitioners
    that we pull this out at the last minute. In the same
18
19
    token, you're right about leverage. Imperial has quite
20
    a few buildings in this community, so certainly we could
21
    send a message that, you know, from now on, we're going
22
    to look at this and maybe it's part of the Staff's sort
2.3
    of responsibility when someone comes with a special use
24
    from an Imperial building where we're having the
25
    problems --
26
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: We can't, we can't single
27
    anyone out, but --
28
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF:
                                   No, we can't, but these
29
    issues need to be addressed.
30
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Right, that's fair.
31
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: And I think it's, I think
32
    it's a great compromise to work through here and then
    allow the Village Board to deal with it.
33
34
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                I would also like to stress
    that it's unfortunate they didn't show up today. That
35
36
    said, any other questions of Steve before we hear from
37
    the Petitioners?
38
          (No response.)
39
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: David, step to the
40
    microphone please. State your name and be sworn in.
41
         MS. AHARONI: Mindy Aharoni.
42
         MR. AHARONI: David Aharoni.
43
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
                         Please raise your right hand.
44
               (Witnesses sworn.)
         MR. GUTIERREZ:
45
                         Thank you.
46
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: So, do you have any
47
    presentation or remarks you want --
                      Well, I mean, I'd kind of like to
48
         MS. AHARONI:
49
    remark, comment on what you guys have talked about.
50
    totally, we know who we're dealing with. We've been
```

there for seven years. We've always had issues with the way they maintain. That being said, the way we maintain

51

 the inside of the building is close to immaculate. You know, we have, our carpet is worn in seams, but it's so new looking because we don't, nobody wears shoes. We're repainting, we're remodeling. Every client that comes in is impressed with how nice and clean and organized our clinic is.

We don't do our therapy outside. In the summer, we might take the kids out for a little bit, but our business is run inside. Imperial is Imperial. We complained about the cement for years and, you know, you're going to have a lawsuit, many, many things. They have a new building manager. They've managed to repair all of the cement, they redid the courtyard. Of course, we would want all of the other things taken, taken care of

Unfortunately, I think if you do decide not to give us a special use permit, you're putting us at financial, we've signed a lease. I mean, you sign a lease, so this is Imperial, they have lawyers, they fight everything. We're already paying on the space because we didn't even know that we needed the special use because we've been there for seven years and when we moved in and called the Village, we were told we didn't need anything special.

So, this was all a surprise to us, very expensive. And that being said, if it's put off for another six weeks, they really don't care. He's a multimillionaire, it doesn't faze him. It's going to cripple us as a business. Honestly, we've been looking to buy a building and we really wanted to stay in Northfield. Now, we've signed a lease for three years, so obviously we won't be looking for another year-and-a-half or so.

But I mean, it's just, this is definitely affecting us as a business and our perception of Northfield, which we've always loved to date, but it's been a very stressful experience going through this whole process and we just expanded into Libertyville. And we also had to present to the Fire Department and answered multiple questions and we had to permit the whole deal, and it wasn't as lengthy as this type of process, it was just give them all the answers, three weeks, and you had your answer.

It wasn't quite as drawn out and stressful, and that was dealing with Lake County, so that was very interesting. I don't know, I think, and that being said, our landlords are so bad in Libertyville that we actually appreciate Imperial a little bit more. At least they come when something's wrong. You know, they'll come and fix the leak, or whatever, but they're very cheap and they don't maintain the outside of their buildings.

But I really don't think it's fair to punish us for

them. And I don't think, if they can get away with not doing it, they're not going to do it. And we have a lease, and they're going to make us pay it because that's who they are. And we don't, we can't pay a lawyer to fight them. His daughter is a lawyer.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: I would just like to make a real quick comment that none of, nothing at least from my opinion is, I don't know how the Board thinks, but our comments were not directed at you at all.

MS. AHARONI: Oh, I know. I just know that we have no power against them, and we're going to get --

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: And actually we're thinking about what your plans are as presented and I think they look great. But I think, we were talking with Steve about the bigger picture, and please don't take this personally in that regard.

MS. AHARONI: I'm not taking it personally, but it's just unfortunately going to affect our business, it's going to affect us financially, because we're going to be paying for a space that we can't use.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Point taken, yes, for sure.

MS. AHARONI: And I don't think that they care. We
were trying to get them to even let us not start paying
rent yet because we were supposed to start paying the
first of April because all of this came about from their
initiation, you know, financially, they don't do
anything for their tenants. So, if the Village doesn't
have the control or the power to make them fix those
wires - they're not going to do it because that's the
way they run their business. He keeps it at a low, low
level. That's why their rent is very cheap, honestly.
So, there's that give and take with that.

You know, it's probably one of the cheapest rates in, like, that's what allows a business like ours to even function. We're a low-profit type of business. It's, you know, medical therapy services is dependent on insurance. There's a lot of overhead. So, I don't think that they're going to think twice about us not getting that special use because we, they have lease with us. There's nothing in the lease that says it's dependent on special use because they didn't know about it either.

MR. AHARONI: Just so you know, other villages don't require special use for this type of business.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Yes, we know that.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: And it's certainly not our intention to harm you in any way. It's, the only thing that we're concerned about is keeping Northfield looking well. And I certainly don't want to do anything to you guys at all, obviously.

MS. AHARONI: But I don't understand why you still can't hold them to, like is there not another body that

holds them to a certain -COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: That's kind of my
question.

MS. AHARONI: Like why does it have to be connected to our special use permit?

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: That's actually my question.

MR. GUTIERREZ: It doesn't need to. There may be property maintenance code violations and issues that we can deal with independent of the Zone process.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: And I think that's maybe what we should ask you and the Village Board to address-MR. GUTIERREZ: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: -- with the Petitioner, with the owner of this property.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Absolutely.

 COMMISSIONER MENDREK: I would like to see some wording in our motion that draws that in play and at least that the Village has made a good faith effort to contact the landlord. Whether the landlord does anything about it or not is another question which he'll with code violations, but I would like to at least call that to the attention of the Board, the Village Board, for approval of this.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: That's a good idea. COMMISSIONER KELLY: How often is the building inspected?

MR. GUTIERREZ: The building is inspected for fire safety issues once a year, that's done by our Fire Prevention Bureau, but they're not really looking for property maintenance issues. So, they're going to be going in and see that the alarm system is working okay, that the panel's working, that they've got smoke detectors, that type of thing. Exits are, you know, properly accessible and what not. But they're not typically going to be going around outside looking at the pavement and looking at the deterioration on the outside.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: That does lend itself to safety, the exteriors, the sidewalk, I think --

MR. GUTIERREZ: Again, the buildings have been on our radar and we we'll be reaching out to the owners about what we've seen out there.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: In my opinion, and this is way over, you know, I'm over my skis here, but we have problems in our neighborhood, and we've been asking for people to, for things to happen and they're not. So, this is, this is a bigger issue, I think, than just this building. We have, we have a systemic issue that we need to address.

MR. GUTIERREZ: And I always, as I always, I've always asked people to let me know what specific issues

and, you know, we'll try to address them.

2.3

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Try is the word though, that's the problem.

MR. GUTIERREZ: We have don't have authority to make property owners do things beyond what the code requires.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: I agree with that, but these houses are out of code and we know it. I'm done; I'm off my box.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Well, we do it every year, so we have this conversation every year, so -- COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Yes, exactly.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: -- the key is the code has to be adjusted some way. And look, I'm not, I'm never looking for more government intervention, that's for sure, and I, this process that you had to go through. I've been supportive of trying to, the reason we do it is mainly for parking. That's the main issue here. The parking is not even an issue. So, I've been trying to circumvent that as well but, you know, maybe in your motion you can phrase some additional language for the Board to take a look at this with a future perspective, because I don't want to hold this up. I think this is a good use and there's no reason not to approve this in my mind.

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE: Mine, too.

MR. GUTIERREZ: And on that particular issue, we have a really good opportunity to address the issue question in the context of the updating of the Comprehensive Plan that we're in the midst of. I would expect that there'll be an array of recommendations and undoubtedly, some of those recommendations will relate to the zoning code.

I've mentioned that to a number of property owners including Imperial who have expressed their concern about the special use requirement for medical office uses of any size.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: It would be nice, it would be nice to separate them. I mean, there's a special use issue which we should separate from the building code issue, in my mind, because a special use could be streamlined even more than it is already.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: I have a few questions for your operations, about your operations. Oh, before I get to that, Steve, one final question. Your addendum that you passed on only has the name changed on it, nothing else is changed?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Correct.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: So, a couple questions about the operations. How long have you been in this new space?

MS. AHARONI: The current space, seven years.

```
CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Yes, and you've expanded?
 2
         MS. AHARONI: No, we want to expand.
 3
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: You want to expand, but you
 4
    said you signed a lease as of April 1?
 5
         MR. AHARONI:
                       Yes.
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                It sounded like you had
    already moved into the space?
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING:
                                They're already paying the
 9
    rent.
10
         MS. AHARONI: No, no, no, no.
11
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                               You're just paying rent.
12
    You haven't moved in at all?
13
         MS. AHARONI:
                      No.
                            Today is the first day of paying
14
    rent. You have to, they make you sign the lease before
15
16
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: As of April 1st, I'm talking
17
    about.
18
         MS. AHARONI:
                      Right.
19
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Oh, so clear, wait.
20
    clearly you're, you're renting this space that we're
21
    talking about, right?
22
         MS. AHARONI:
                       Right, as of today.
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING: As of today.
2.3
24
         MS. AHARONI: Well, that's not true.
25
    lease from three months ago. I think it was three
26
    months ago, but they, they only started charging us rent
27
    as of today because in theory --
28
         COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Sure.
29
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Have you done anything with
30
    that space yet?
31
         MS. AHARONI: Just the demolition.
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: You have started demolition.
32
33
         MR. AHARONI: Yes.
34
         MS. AHARONI:
                       Yeah.
35
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Okay, so it's doubling your
36
    space approximately?
37
         MS. AHARONI:
                       Not --
38
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                Give or take --
39
         MR. AHARONI: One-third.
40
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: One-third, okay. So, are
41
    you expanding; are you planning on expanding your staff?
42
    You say, it says in here that you have 16 people, right?
43
         MS. AHARONI: Approximately, not at the same time,
    but because people work different hours, but at the most
44
45
    there might be 16 people in one day.
46
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: And your hours of
47
    operations, what are they now?
         MS. AHARONI: 9:00 to about 6:00, I think, is the
48
49
    latest.
50
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: It says 5:30, here, Steve.
51
    And then in the motion it says 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.
52
         MR. GUTIERREZ: We always add a couple of hours
```

 just so there's no issue or question later.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: And to square all those things up, and are you going to increase staff from what your current staff is because of this additional space?

MS. AHARONI: I mean, it's very hard to tell because it's so, it's dependent on clients coming in, clients leaving. Right now, I would say no. We have the staff we need. We're just very crowded and that's why we needed to --

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Okay, I just want to get a picture of everything. It wasn't clear, the 16 is what you anticipate or the 16 is what you have now.

MS. AHARONI: That's the high end of like a given day, and that being said, we've also expanded to Libertyville. So, some of our staff goes to a different site now, so it's, we have to sub-contract. It's just hard because it's different all the time. Every hour is different.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Your employees are sub-contractors?

MS. AHARONI: Some, we have employees and sub-contractors, yeah.

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Does anyone else have any other comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: No.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: So, would we, just as a group here, be comfortable with an additional number nine here that would just say something along the lines of the Plan and Zoning Commission wishes that were, let's see, the Planning and Zoning Commission asks Staff to make outreach to the owner of the building regarding the code violations that have been unearthed and we would ask the Village Board to at least review any findings or recommendations based on that outreach before approval?

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: But that is not contingent, it's not contingent on --

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Well, we're going to -correct. Yes, we're either going to vote up or down on
the motion, but we just need to add wording into --

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: That's correct, right.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: -- instruct the Board as to what we want to do, correct?

MR. GUTIERREZ: You just want to construct it carefully enough so that it won't be contingent on action. The Board can vote two weeks from today but they're not going to fix anything in two weeks.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Yes, correct.

MR. GUTIERREZ: But we'll engage them and we'll get at least, maybe a response from them.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: And the Village Board can

take that up with them.

2.3

2.5

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Okay, does somebody want to refine that?

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: One second before we start talking about this. Does anyone from the audience have any questions or comments?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Thank you. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Okay, so does someone want to refine that so the recommendation, recommendation number nine would be that Village Staff engage with the building owner regarding various zoning violations that have --

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Property maintenance issues. COMMISSIONER MENDREK: -- property maintenance issues that have arisen. However, the passage of this particular special use would not be tied to, it's not contingent on the owner's response or action before the April whatever Board meeting, April 16. Do we --

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Bingo.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Are we good?

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: Are you going to be able to say that again? It will be on the recording.

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: It's on the recording.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: It's a very good point. Yes, I think that fine. I think, you know, I think the key is just making sure the Board and the Staff reach out to the owner to, and it's more to the Board in my mind to say look, this is, always can be a problem. Can we find a way to solve this problem at the code level and not solve it at the special use level, because it's not fair to the Petitioners when they come in they have no idea that this is a potential problem.

COMMISSIONER BOLLING: We certainly don't want to penalize the Petitioner.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: No, we want more business here, not less.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF: Does anyone want to make a motion?

COMMISSIONER MENDREK: Okay, I would like to make a motion.

Motion to recommend the Village Board approval of a Special Use for the operation of pediatric therapy practice at 1845 Oak Street in accordance with the Petitioner's application and supporting materials, date stamped February 27, 2019, subject to conditions 1 through 8, with the addition of No. 9 as indicated in the prior recording.

1. The Special Use shall be personal and limited to the Petitioners, Mindy and David Aharoni pursuant to Article XVI, Section 4(9) of the Zoning Ordinance of the Village of Northfield. Upon change in or transfer of

```
ownership of the business, the Special Use shall lapse.
 1
 2
    Change in ownership shall occur when the Petitioner and
 3
    any corporation, partnership or other entity controlled
    by the Petitioner, shall in the aggregate own less than
 5
    51% of these entities.
                            "Controlled by the Petitioner"
    requires that the Petitioner owns 100% of the stock or
 7
    assets of the business entity. Any entity of which the
    Petitioner owns less than 100% may not be counted toward
 9
    the required 51% minimum ownership requirement.
10
    minimum ownership requirements shall apply regardless of
    whether the business is owned by a corporation,
11
12
    partnership or other entity. Furthermore, the Special
13
    Use shall only be applicable to the subject property.
14
         The hours of operation shall be limited to 7:00
15
    a.m. to 9:00 p.m. seven days a week.
16
         The representations made in the application and
17
    supporting documents are binding upon the Petitioners.
18
    There shall be no additional uses permitted beyond those
19
    specifically enumerated herein or permitted by the
20
    Village of Northfield's Zoning ordinance.
21
         The Village of Northfield Health, Fire, and
22
    Building Officials shall be granted access to the
2.3
    subject property at any reasonable time for purposes of
24
    conducting inspections for compliance with Village Codes
25
    and Ordinances.
26
         An approval pursuant to any requested review by a
27
    Village consultant, Village Staff member, Village
28
    Commission or Village Board Committee shall be an
29
    approval of only those items specified in any motion,
30
    resolution, ordinance, or written report.
                                                Such approval
31
    shall not be deemed to be an approval of any matter
32
    which is within the jurisdiction of any other Village
    consultant, Village Staff member, Village Board
33
34
    Committee or Village Commission that has not issued a
35
    report or given its approval. Neither shall such
36
    approval be deemed the approval of any County, State or
37
    Federal Agency. Under no circumstances shall the
38
    approval be deemed to be an approval of any matter not
39
    included in this ordinance by virtue of the fact that
40
    such a matter appeared on a supporting document which is
41
    not attached as an exhibit to this ordinance or
42
    incorporated as an exhibit as part of this ordinance.
43
         The petitioner shall comply in all other respects
44
    with the ordinances of the Village of Northfield and
45
    nothing in this special use shall be construed as a
46
    waiver of any of those requirements.
47
         Violation of any condition of this Special Use
    Ordinance shall be cause to revoke said permit by the
48
49
    Corporate Authorities upon ten (10) days proper notice
50
    to the Petitioner. Alternatively, the Village Manager
```

\$750.00 per violation, for violation of this Special Use

shall have the right to assess fines, not to exceed

51

```
Ordinance.
                Such assessment of fines may be appealed to
    the Corporate Authorities by filing written notice of
 3
    appeal within three (3) days of the assessment.
         Changes in the project may only be made as follows;
 5
              Minor Field Changes. Minor changes in
    locations or sizes shown on exhibits may be approved, in
 7
    writing, by the Director of Community Development.
    Typically, a minor filed change will not involve a
 9
    percentage change greater than 3%. However, not all
    changes of less than 3% shall necessarily be deemed to
10
11
               The determination of the Director of
    be minor.
12
    Community Development as to whether a change is a minor
13
    field change shall be final.
14
         <u>Village Board Approved Changes.</u> The Village Board
    may approve, without referral to the Plan and Zoning
15
16
    Commission, such other changes as it believes are in the
17
    best interest of the Village and which do not involve
18
    changes in numbers found in the text of the Ordinance
19
    and which do not have a substantial, direct impact on
20
    adjacent properties. The determination of the Village
21
    Board as to whether a requested change should be
22
    referred to the Plan and Zoning Commission shall be
2.3
    final.
24
         Changes Requiring a Public Hearing. Any change
2.5
    involving a size, quantity or other numerical value
    found in the text of the Ordinance or any change having
26
27
    substantial, direct impact on adjacent properties shall
28
    not be made except after a public hearing before the
29
    Plan and Zoning Commission. Additionally, the Village
30
    Board or the Director of Community Development may refer
31
    any requested change to the Plan and Zoning Commission
    for public hearing when either believes it would be in
32
33
    the best interest of the Village to do so.
34
         Village Staff engage with the building owner
35
    regarding various zoning violations that have property
36
    maintenance issues that have arisen. However, the
37
    passage of this particular special use would not be tied
38
    to, it's not contingent on the owner's response or
39
    action before the April 16 Board meeting.
40
         COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF:
                                   Second
41
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                All those in favor?
42
               (Chorus of ayes.)
43
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS:
                                 Opposed?
44
               (No response.)
45
         CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Motion carries.
46
    Congratulations.
47
         MR. AHARONI:
                       Thank you.
```

LeGRAND REPORTING & VIDEO SERVICES
Chicago & Roselle, Illinois - Miami & Orlando, Florida
(630) 894-9389 - (800) 219-1212

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All those in favor?

CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: All opposed?

Second.

Make a motion to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER BERLINGHOF:

COMMISSIONER ESTABROOKE:

(Chorus of ayes.)

48

49

50

51

```
1
                (No response.)
 2
          CHAIRMAN VASELOPULOS: Motion carries. Good night
 3
    everyone.
                (Whereupon, at 7:55 p.m., the above meeting
 4
 5
                     was concluded)
 6
 7
 8
        APPROVED 6/3/19
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
```